

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Lewis
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1287085
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Lewis
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1287085
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Comments with reference to Q94 Rochdale JPA19 - Bamford/Norden TRAFFIC</p> <p>Local roads are totally unsuitable to the additional volume of traffic generated by any implementation of the PfE particularly since traffic assessments predicted for future projects are notoriously underestimated in the UK. Any and all measures proposed to accommodate a large number of additional cars/vehicles (mainly large fuel guzzlers) will result in a significant increase in traffic congestion, inconvenience for all and most importantly a substantial worsening of air quality.</p> <p>In addition no account has been taken of the wider impact on traffic congestion in adjacent areas e.g Heywood etc.</p> <p>This site will raise problems for all locals (new and existing) to access public transport with a resulting increase in the use of motor vehicles adding to a further detriment of the environment.</p> <p>This site does not comply with PfE Objective 7, disrespects moves to a low carbon economy to enhance climate change and NPPF Chapters 2 (para 8) and Chapter 9.</p> <p>SCHOOLS</p> <p>The school buildings in this area have already been extended, pupil places have been fully taken up and there is no proposal for more schools near to this site.</p> <p>There is no compliance with PfE Objective 9 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 8 (para 95).</p> <p>FLOODING</p> <p>The ability of the green belt and adjacent areas to accommodate the increasing amounts of rainfall due to climate change is already being</p>

	<p>stretched and the immense reduction, nay virtual obliteration, of the green belt by the PfE plan will certainly lead to an increased risk of flooding.</p> <p>Again there is no confidence that future flood assessments bear any relation to reality as they have shown by the sad flooding at far too many new housing developments.</p> <p>This site does not comply with PfE Objective 2 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 14.</p> <p>GREEN BELT</p> <p>It has not been shown that ALL brownfield sites have been taken into account and they should therefore be included It is also very likely in the current economic climate that even more may be made available.</p> <p>In a so called democracy there is no justification in taking possession of PROTECTED green belt land to build for an unmet housing need in Rochdale.</p> <p>The site does not comply with PfE Objectives 7 and 8 and 6 of the 7 Site Selection Criteria. It is also not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF Chapter 13.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	That JPA 19 Bamford/Norden to be REMOVED from the PfE.
Family Name	Lewis
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1287085
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>All as previously given in Section 18 above</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>The REMOVAL of JPA 19 Bamford/Norden from the PfE.</p>